

रजिस्टर नं ०८०/एम०१४



राजपत्र, हिमाचल प्रदेश (असाधारण)

हिमाचल प्रदेश राज्यतात्त्व द्वारा प्रकाशित

शिमला, शनिवार, 17 अगस्त, 1985/ 26 अगस्त, 1987

हिमाचल प्रदेश सरकार

PERSONNEL DEPARTMENT
APPOINTMENT-I

NOTIFICATION

Shimla-2, the 27th July, 1985

No. Per. (A.I)B(2)-2/84.—Under Rule 15 of the H.P. Administrative Service Rules, 1973, the Governor, Himachal Pradesh, is pleased to appoint the following candidates, who competed successfully the H.P. Administrative Service etc. competitive examination held in 1984 to the

Himachal Pradesh Administrative Service on probation, with effect from the date of their joining as such:

<i>Sl. No.</i>	<i>Name and address of candidate</i>	<i>Remarks</i>
1.	Shri Kanshi Ram Bharti, Chemical Laboratory, Cantt. Road, Dharamshala (H.P.).	—
2.	Shri Shekhar Gupta, 154, Sector No. 2, Bilaspur (H.P.) Pin-174 001.	—
3.	Shri Dinesh Kumar Malhotra, Secretary Cottage, U.S. Club, Shimla-171 001.	—
4.	Shri Budhi Ram, Village Kail Sapper, V.P.O. Rampur via Subathu, Tehsil Kasauli, District Solan (H.P.)	—
5.	Shri Baboo Ram Jamwal, House No. G-3, Knolls wood Housing Colony, Shimla-171 002.	—
6.	Shri Rajiv Kumar Shankar s/o Sh. Gauri Shankar, V.P.O. Pragpur, Tehsil Dehra, Distt. Kangra H.P.	The offer of appointment is subject to verification of authenticity of the Backward Class Certificate submitted by him. In case the authenticity of the certificate is not proved, then the appointment shall stand cancelled.
2.	All these candidates shall furnish a written acceptance of appointment offered to them immediately on the receipt of their appointment letters to the Under Secretary (Personnel), H.P. Government, Shimla-2, failing which the offer of appointment will be treated as cancelled.	
3.	In case of acceptance of appointment, the candidates shall report for duty to the Director, H.P. Institute of Public Administration, Fair Lawns, Shimla-171 012, on the 1st August, 1985 at 10 A.M. positively, to undergo Foundational Course.	
4.	This offer of appointment is subject to the provisions of the H.P. Administrative Service Rules, 1973, as amended from time to time.	

P. K. MATTOO,
Chief Secretary.

ELECTION DEPARTMENT

NOTIFICATIONS

Shimla-2, the 17th May, 1985

No. 3-6/83-ELN.—The Election Commission of India's notification No. 82/HP-LA/(12/82)/85, dated the 25th April, 1985 corresponding to Vaisakha 5, 1907 (Saka) containing the judgment

dated 27th March, 1985 of the Hon'ble High Court of Himachal Pradesh at Shimla in Election Petition No. 12 of 1982, is hereby published for general information.

By order,
ATTAR SINGH,
Chief Electoral Officer.

ELECTION COMMISSION OF INDIA

NIRVACHAN SADAN,
ASHOKA ROAD,
NEW DELHI-110001.

25th April, 1985

Dated

Vaisakha 5, 1907 (Saka)

NOTIFICATION

No. 82/HP-LA/ (12/82)/85.—It pursuance of section 106 of the Representation of the People Act, 1951 (43 of 1951), the Election Commission hereby publishes the judgment dated 27th March, 1985 of the High Court of Himachal Pradesh, Shimla, in Election Petition No. 12 of 1982.

COPY OF THE JUDGMENT DELIVERED BY HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S. THAKUR, J. ON 27.3.1985 IN ELECTION PETITION NO. 12 OF 1982 AND E.M.P. NO. 4 OF 1982

Election Petition No. 12 of 1982 and E.M.P. No. 4 of 1982

Vidya Dhar
Mohan Lal & others
Hon'ble Mr. Justice
For the Petitioner

For the Respondent

Date of decision: March 28, 1985
Petitioner.
Respondents.
H.S. Thakur, J.
S/Shri Inder Singh and M. C. Mandhotra,
Advocates.
S/Shri M.G. Chitkara and B.N. Sharma,
Advocates.

H.S. THAKUR (Oral)

By the decision dated October 12, 1984, passed in the election petition filed by the petitioner and in the recriminatory petition filed by the respondent, the inspection of the rejected votes and the votes cast in favour of the returned candidate was allowed at the first stage. It was further ordered that after the ballot papers were so inspected and counted, if it was found that the petitioner got more votes than the returned candidate, the election of the returned candidate was to be declared void. It was further ordered that in such a situation, the returned candidate, who filed the recriminatory petition, such petition would be allowed and the ballot papers cast in favour of the petitioner would be inspected and counted. The final result of the election petition and the recriminatory petition was to depend on the result of the inspection and counting of such votes.

Ultimately, it was ordered that the votes shall be inspected and counted by the Registrar of this Court and the final result would be worked out by him. The Registrar was allowed to take the assistance of such staff of this Court as he deemed necessary. The parties and their counsel were allowed to be present at the time of the inspection and the counting of votes.

In compliance with the said order, the Registrar has reported that Shri Mohan Lal respondent has secured 38 votes more than the petitioner. The report of the Registrar is on record. Objections have been filed on behalf of the election petitioner to the said report. I have considered the objections and am of the view that there is no force in these objections.

Under the circumstances, the election petition as also the recriminatory petition are dismissed with no order as to costs.

It may be pointed out that for the purpose of counting and inspection of votes, some officers of the High Court had to work even after court hours for some days. I am told that they are not entitled to any monetary benefit for doing this work for extra hours. As such, it is desirable that they be compensated for the extra work done by them after Court hours. Accordingly, a total sum of Rs. 800/- shall be paid to them out of the security amount deposited by the election petitioner. The Registrar shall divide this amount amongst such concerned officers.

The election petition as also the recriminatory petition are accordingly disposed of.

The Registrar shall comply with all the necessary formalities that may be required in consequence of the disposal of the election petition as also the recriminatory petition.

March 27, 1985
(OP)

Sd/-
(H.S. THAKUR)

ATTTESTED

Sd/-
Superintendent (J)
Superintendent (Gazetted-II)
High Court of Himachal Pradesh, Shimla.

By order,
R. P. BHALLA,
Secretary to the Election Commission of India.

Shimla-171002, the 3rd August, 1985

No. 5-15/84-ELN.—Consequent upon the conferring of the Secretarial status upon the office of the Chief Electoral Officer and re-designation of the posts of Superintendent Grade-I (Class-II Gazetted) in the pay scale of Rs. 825—1580 as Section Officers (Class-II Gazetted) in the pay scale of Rs. 825-1580 plus Rs. 100 as special pay w.e.f. 24th July, 1985 vide Memorandum No. 5-16/80-Elec., dated the 24th July, 1985, the Governor, Himachal Pradesh is pleased to re-designate Sarvshri Rup Chand Kapoor and Yog Raj Sharma, Superintendents Grade-I as Section Officers from the said date.

ATTAR SINGH,
Secretary.

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT MAGISTRATE KINNAUR DISTRICT, KALPA

FOOD AND SUPPLIES DEPARTMENT

NOTIFICATION

Kalpa, the 30th July, 1985

No. FDS/KNR (E) 12-1/82-III.—In supersession of all previous orders regarding fixation of maximum retail sale rates and in exercise of the powers conferred upon me under clause 3

(i) (e) of Himachal Pradesh Hoarding and Profiteering Prevention Order, 1977, I, Vivek Srivastava, District Magistrate, Kinnaur hereby fix the maximum retail sale rates inclusive of all taxes of the following commodities as under:—

Sl. No.	No. of article as per schedule	Name of the commodity	Maximum retail sale rate inclusive of all taxes
1	2	3	4
Rs.			
1.	2	Bread weighing 300 gm.	1.75 per bread
2.	12	(i) Meat	22.00 per kg.
		(ii) Chicken dressed	27.00 per kg.
		(iii) Chicken (Live)	20.00 per kg.
		(iv) Fish	14.00 per kg.
		(v) Meat Pig	16.00 per kg.
3.	17	Cooked food served in any establishment:	
		(i) full diet including dal and vegetable	4.50
		(ii) Full diet only with dal	3.50
		(iii) Rice parmal per plate	3.00
		(iii-a) Rice parmal half plate	2.00
		(iv) Meat per plate	8.00
		(v) Meat half plate	4.50
		(vi) Meat chicken per plate	10.00
		(vii) Meat chicken per half plate	5.50
		(viii) Special vegetable per plate	3.50
		(ix) Special vegetable per half plate.	2.00
		(x) Special vegetable with paneer per plate.	4.50
		(xi) Special vegetable with paneer per half plate.	2.50
		(xii) Ordinary vegetable per plate	2.00
		(xiii) Ordinary dal per plate	1.50
		(xiv) Curry per plate	1.00
		(xv) Special curry per plate	2.50
		(xvi) Chapati	0.40 per chapati
		(xvii) Omlette two eggs	2.50
		(xviii) Boiled egg	1.00 each egg
		(xix) Tea	0.60 per glass/cup
		(xx) Puri with vegetable	0.90 per piece
		(xxi) Pakora	15.00 per kg.
		(xxii) Samosa per piece	0.50
		(xxiii) Mathi per piece	0.50
		(xxiv) Balushai/Mahesu, Besin/Ladoo/Pini/Shakarpura/Khajur Matar/Sewian/Bundi.	18.00 per kg.
		(xxv) Patisha/Karachi Haluwa/Amriti/Coconut burfi.	20.00 per kg.
		(xxvi) Burfi Khoya	28.00 per kg.
		(xxvii) Dal Namkin	19.00 per kg.

1	2	3	4	Rs.
		(xxviii) Jalebi		15.00 per kg.
		(xxix) Parontha ordinary		1.00 each
		(xxx) Parontha stuffed		1.50 each
4	18	Milk/curd	Nichar Sub-Division excluding Tapri	Kalpa and Pooh Sub-Divisions including Tapri
		(i) Milk Kutcha :		
		Sale by producer	3.50 per kg.	4.00 per kg.
		Sale by retailers	4.00 " "	4.50 " "
		(ii) Milk boiled	4.50 " "	5.00 " "
		(iii) Milk with sugar	5.50 " "	6.00 " "
		(iv) Curd	6.00 " "	6.50 " "

All the retailers/establishments should display rate list in their business premises in a conspicuous place duly signed by the owner/partner/manager with date thereon.

This order shall remain operative upto one month from the date of issue of this notification in the H.P. Gazette.

This order shall come into force throughout Kinnaur district from the date of notification in the H.P. Gazette.

VIVEK SRIVASTAVA,
District Magistrate, Kinnaur, district,
Kalpa (H.P.).